## PINE BINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

"	TO TRADEMARE	regional de la casa de la fatamenta de la casa de la ca	are stated below next to my name.
•			
I beli	eve myself to be	the original, first and sole inventor (i	(if only one name is listed below) or an
original and f	irst joint inventor	(if more than one name is listed bel	low) of the subject matter which is
disclosed an	d claimed and for	r which a patent is sought on the inv	vention entitled:
	"High Avail	lability Lightweight Directory Acc	cess Protocol Service"
The	specification of th	nis subject matter:	
x	is attached he	ereto.	•
	was filed on	;	
	was assigned	I serial No.	
	which was an	nended on	•
application, i do not believ my invention invention the sale in the U has not beer application ir	ncluding the claimed that the claimed thereof, or paten ireof or more than nited States of Arapatented or mach any country foreoes or assigns more than the claim is any country foreoes or assigns more than the claim is any country foreoes or assigns more than the claim is any country foreoes or assigns more than the claim is any country foreoes or assigns more than the claim is any country foreoes or assigns and the claim is a claim in the claim in the claim is a claim in the claim in the claim is a claim in the claim in the claim is a claim in the c	ns, as amended by any amendment d invention was ever known or used ated or described in any printed public one year prior to this application, the merica more than one year prior to the the subject of an inventor's certificant of the United States of America	this application, and that the invention
l ack	knowledge the du n accordance with	ty to disclose information which is mages 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a).	naterial to the examination of this
	inventorio cortific	esta lietad halow and have also ideni	119 (a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) htified below any foreign application for he application on which priority is claimed
·	Application(s)	•	Priority Claimed
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes No
Number	·	· ·	
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes No
			N

Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	Filing Date	
	Filing Date	
Application Number	Filling Date	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Reg. No. 31,562; Marc S. Hanish, Reg. No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125; Gerhard W. Thielman, Reg. No. 43,186; Adrienne Yeung, Reg. No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Reg. No. 40,299; William Samuel Niece, Reg. No.: P47,824; Masako Ando (37 CFR § 10.9(b)); Thierry Lo (37 CFR § 10.9(b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Reg. No. 20,282; Kenneth Olsen, Reg. No. 26,493; Timothy J. Crean, Reg. No. 37,116; Alexander E. Silverman, Reg. No. 37,940; Anirma R. Gupta, Reg. No. 38,275; Sean P. Lewis, Reg. No. 42,798; Michael J. Schallop, Reg. No. 44,319; Bernice B. Chen, Reg. No. 42,403; Noreen A. Krall, Reg. No. 39,734; Monica D. Ward, Reg. No. 40,696; Pavel Pogodin, Reg. No. 48,205; Marc D. Foodman, Reg. No. 34,110; Elaine Lee, Reg. No. 41,936; Hugh H. Matsubayashi, Reg. No. 43,779; Paul D. Sorkin No. 39,039; Marilyn E. Glaubensklee, Reg. No. 35,521; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Jeffrey L. Myers, Reg. No. 44,252; as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone (408) 292-5800

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Docket No. SUN-P7089

FULL NAME OF	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)  LAST Name  Bethmangalkar				
INVENTOR 1				٠.		
	Ramachandra	Old - Family Country	Country of	Citizenship		
RESIDENCE AN	D City	State or Foreign Country	Obditary of	OKILO11011IP		
CITIZENSHIP	Santa Clara	California	India			
POST OFFICE	Number and Street	City	State or Country Z	ip		
Code	Manipor and Caret	. •				
ADDRESS			our cores United	Ctotoo		
ABBILLOG	1516 Vista Club Circle, #106	Santa Clara	California 95054, United	States		
				•		
		MIDDLE Initial(s)	LAST Name			
FULL NAME OF	FIRST Name	MIDDEL midal(s)				
INVENTOR 2	Fundada	E	Herrmann			
	Frederic  D City	State or Foreign Country	Country o	of Citizenship		
RESIDENCE AN	D City	Oldio C. Colong				
CITIZENSHIP	Palo Alto	California	France_			
POST OFFICE	Number and Street	City	State or Country Z	Zip		
Code	Manipor and Case.	•				
ADDRESS			California 94306, United St	ates		
7,007,1200	3714 Carlson Circle	Palo Alto	California 94300, Ornted St	0.00		
	TIDOT Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)	LAST Name			
FULL NAME OF	FIRST Name	MIDDLE midal(s)		•		
INVENTOR 3		·	Gammo			
========	<u>Louay</u> D City	State or Foreign Country	Country o	f		
RESIDENCE AN	D City		•			
Citizenship CITIZENSHIP		•	0 1-			
CHIZENSIII	Menlo Park	California	Canada	7!		
POST OFFICE	Number and Street	City	State or Country	Zip		
Code				:		
ADDRESS		Marcha Davida	California 94025, United St	tates		
	405 El Camino Real, Suite 223	Menlo Park	California 54025, 54m555			
•						
	declare that all statements made he	erein of my own knowledge	e are true and that all stateme	nts		
I turtner	declare that all statements made not matter that all statements made not matter that all statements made not matter than the statements.	true; and further that these	statements were made with	the		
made upon inion	willful false statements and the like s	o made are punishable by	fine or imprisonment, or both	, under		
made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements work made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under knowledge that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity						
of the application or any patent issuing thereon.						
			22	1-11200		
Dn - 1	02/04/20	02		10 1 Sass		
Date						
Ramachandra B	etimanyaka Date					
		V				
	•			•		

Date

Louay Gammo

## 37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentabilit

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to who
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.